## Intro to Machine Learning

Main lecturer: Sebastian Thrun (Stanford, Google)

This is a very brief overview of the course. For more detailed summary of similar concepts, <u>see</u> the courses summaries in *Intro to Artificial Intelligence* (also by Thrun), *Supervised Learning* and *Unsupervised Learning*.

- Main code package: Python \ **SK-learn** looks really good and convenient.
- Each section seems to end with a quite simple, medium-sized project to practice applying the new algorithm on some given data through SK-learn.
- Naïve Bayes:
  - Algorithm:
    - Define features xi.
    - For each class c learn f(xi|c) empirically.
    - Assign probability to new x using Bayes rule on  $\{P_c \coloneqq \prod_i f(x_i|c)\}_c$ .
      - The last formula assumes independence of features, hence naïve.
  - Classic example: classifying text using bag-of-words approach.
- **<u>SVM</u>**: very shallow discussion.
  - The idea of maximum *margin* is explained.
  - The idea of non-linear *separator* using new fictive coordinates is demonstrated through the classic example of circle separator. It is mentioned without explaining that the new coordinates are yielded by some magical *kernel*.
  - Two hyper-parameters (C &  $\gamma$ ) which control smoothness & points-reach are briefly explained. Both seem to control the tradeoff between accuracy and avoiding overfitting.
    - The reach of a point determines how quick the influence of the point decays. Fast decay means that the separator will learn more locally (which overfits more).
  - It is demonstrated how to easily apply SVM with custom kernel & hyper-parameters using SK-learn.
- Decision Trees:
  - Basically a sequence of if-else's.
    - Equivalent to step-function.
  - Allows **non-linear** classification (and possibly regression) with **very simple basic blocks**.
  - A tree is learned by greedily splitting nodes that **maximize information gain** (i.e. cause largest reduce of entropy) or similar measures.
  - Trees have **high tendency to overfit**, hence it is important to use the hyperparameters (such as the minimum training samples required in a leaf that is considered for a split) to reduce overfit.
    - The bias-variance tradeoff of a learner (i.e. its sensitivity to the training data) was briefly discussed.
  - Many trees can be used together through the forest (and also ensemble?) algorithm.

- Enron corpus: corpus of emails of big company that crashed due to frauds.
  - Learn on various-sized training sets and compare validation errors to see dependence of performance on size of data is useful in particular to see whether more data are needed.
- **Outliers**: can be ignored (if context justifies it) by training, detecting samples with large residual errors, remove them and re-train.
  - Another method (that was not mentioned) is detecting samples with "too large" influence on the learning, using *Cook Distance*.
- <u>Clustering</u>: only k-means was discussed. Need to set number of clusters in advance. Retrying multiple times was suggested to deal with local minima.
- Feature scaling:
  - While many learning methods (e.g. linear regression, decision trees) aren't sensitive to feature scales, others are sensitive – sometimes unexpectedly (e.g. SVM and K-means for considering Euclidean distance in the input space; regularized linear regression). Hence, scaling features by default is good practice.
  - Most popular scaling methods are min/max scaling  $(x \coloneqq (x x_{min})/(x_{max} x_{min}))$ and mean/var scaling  $(x \coloneqq (x - \mu)/\sigma)$ .
  - SK-lean inherently supports features scaling.
- <u>Text learning</u>: only **bag of words** approach was discussed (*count vectorizer* in SK-learn), along with basic pre-processing (*stopwords* deletion and *stemming*, both recommended through NLTK).
  - It was suggested to normalize words counting by the frequency of the word, so that rare words will have larger weights (at least in learning methods which are scale-sensitive).

## Feature selection:

- Too many features may contain irrelevant information, cause overfitting, and/or slow down the learning.
- Engineering smart features and choosing only the relevant ones are essential for the success of the training.
- Initial feature selection can be done to filter out the less promising features, especially when feature space is huge (e.g. words counting space as in bag of words learning), and can be done for example by greedily check for each feature its own potential as a single input.
- *Regularization* is also important, in particular one that actually zeroizes coefficients (such as *Lasso* which is supported by SK-learn).
- **<u>PCA</u>**: PCA + eigenfaces example.
- Validation: train & test sets (even validation set was not discussed); cross validation.
- Evaluation metrics: mainly classification was discussed
  - **Accuracy** = rate of correct predictions sensitive to:
    - Asymmetry in number of samples per class.
    - Asymmetry in cost of error in different classes.
  - **Confusion matrix**: C[i,j] = P(predict j for instance of i).
    - Recall(i) = TP(i)/(TP(i)+FN(i)) = P(correct | instance of i)
    - Precision(i) = TP(i)/(TP(i)+FP(i)) = P(correct | i was predicted)
  - *F-score* was not discussed.

## • <u>Summary</u>:

